

Can we predict beef flavour? "Key Customer Day" - 20 April 2018

Linda Farmer, Terence Hagan and David Farrell AFBI Food Research Branch

www.afbini.gov.uk

Can we predict beef flavour?

Consumer aspirations

Marker compounds

How can we use them?

Consumer aspirations?



Quality attributes

- Search / Experience / Credence

Ranking 22 attributes from 15 papers

1.	Origin	С
2.	Price	S
3.	Labels, brands, certification	S
4.	Visible fat	S
5.	Flavour	Ε
6.	Animal welfare	С
7.	Production system	С
8.	Freshness, shelf-life	Ε
9.	Natural, organic	С
10.	Tenderness	Ε
11. Health, nutrition		
12. Meat colour		

Prediction of overall acceptability of beef by tenderness and flavour

Grilled sirloin German, Spanish and British consumers

Regression coefficients (P < 0.001)

Consumer Country	Tenderness coefficient	Flavour coefficient	R ²
German	0.50	0.50	0.99
Spanish	0.40	0.59	0.99
British	0.40	0.59	0.99



Oliver et al., Meat Science 2006

So what can we do about beef flavour?

- Beef flavour = taste + aroma
- Many taste compounds and aroma compounds
- Most important ones are very difficult to analyse
- Consumer panels are effective but expensive in time and meat

What we need are marker compounds!

(Compounds that are related to flavour but easy to measure)

Identification of marker compounds for beef flavour





A lot of information on all attributes

- Focus on selected data ...
- Flavour, odour and aftertaste only



Using flavour and aroma terms only - adding classes of volatiles









Confirmed by independent data

- Texas Tech University and AFBI (funded by MLA, Birkenwood)
- Same volatile compounds linked to consumer liking.

Jerrad Legako et al, Meat Science 2015



Marker compounds?

Consumer liking is linked to:

- tenderness, juiciness, sweet flavour attributes
- "Maillard" odour compounds
- Sugars and amino acids in raw meat
- Possible "Marker compounds" for flavour liking

Rational explanation for beef flavour! >> Opportunity to manage flavour!







How can we use marker compounds?



Effect of muscle, ageing, packaging and cooking method on marker volatiles for beef flavour

Research funded by: Meat and Livestock Australia Teys Birkenwood

Aim: To understand why differences in flavour occur, so that flavour can be managed to create added value

Example 1: Effect of muscle

2-methyl

butanal

benzaldehyde

3-methyl

butanal

Compound (sig)

KNU066 OUT005 TOP073

2-methyl

propanal

STR045



benzaldehvde

(*)

0.2

2-methyl

propanal (ns)

STR045

3-methyl

butanal (ns)

2-methyl

butanal (ns)

Compound (sig)

TDR062 RMP131 RMP231

- Quantities relative to STR045 = 1
- Some significant effects of muscle

BUT

- Consistent trends within groups
- E.g. TOP073 and RMP231 are high in Strecker aldehydes but not in ketones

Effect of muscle

Different muscles give a different balance of flavour compounds Is this due to a lack of certain precursors?

How could we use this?

E.g. add precursors in a marinade or sauce to enhance flavour formation

Example 2: Effect of cooking method





Strecker aldehydes

Ketones



- Quantities relative to STR045 = 1
- Many sig effects of cooking method
- Large changes to balance of volatile compounds

Sulphur compounds



Effect of cooking method

Different cooking methods cause large changes to balance of flavour compounds

How could we use this?

Recommend different cooking methods to optimise flavour in particular muscles

Next steps

• Flavour precursor analysis to determine if they explain changes in volatile compounds

may suggest which precursors need to be increased when using less favoured cuts.

- Further samples and treatments
 - Statistical analyses to determine the relationship between the flavour volatiles and flavour liking.



- Marker compounds for flavour have been identified
- Differences due to muscle, packaging, ageing, cooking method
- Ongoing work on beef and also chicken
- Opportunities for adding value by improving flavour of specific muscles/treatments

